

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandra, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/618,363 07/10/2003		Michael G. Monteleone	IFF-62 6745			
48080	7590 06/01/2005	90 06:01/2005		EXAMINER		
	TIONAL FLAVORS &	COLE, MONIQUE T				
521 WEST 57TH ST NEW YORK, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1743			

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/618,363		MONTELEONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Monique T.		1743					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s				
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statut repriod will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be to ory minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi ation to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status	,								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	10 July 2003		•					
2a)□	_	This action is no	n-final						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from con							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐	objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	,		•					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be oath or declaration.		=	•	• •				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been uments have been e priority documer Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applica its have been received. 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stag	e				
Attachmen					•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review。(PTO-94	48)	lnterview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔯 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s or No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,363

Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 13 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: any steps detailing the chemical synthesis conditions of the named compound.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 6,303,798 (herein referred to as "Belko").

Belko teaches the following:

Art Unit: 1743

The Beldo compound is a fragrance compound useful in cleaning & personal care products. The compound of Belko may be used in amount of .0005-70% of the composition.

The Belko compound differs from the instantly claimed compound in that the dioxin ring has 6 members, rather than 5.

However, compounds that are position isomers (compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus) or homologs (compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical group, e.g., by -CH2- groups) are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that such compounds possess similar properties. In re Wilder, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). See also In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ 601 (CCPA 1978) (stereoisomers prima facie obvious). Prior art structures do not have to be true homologs or isomers to render structurally similar compounds prima facie obvious. In re Payne, 606 F.2d 303, 203 USPQ 245 (CCPA 1979) (Claimed and prior art compounds were both directed to heterocyclic carbamoyloximino compounds having pesticidal activity. The only structural difference between the claimed and prior art compounds was that the ring structures of the claimed compounds had two carbon atoms between two sulfur atoms whereas the prior art ring structures had either one or three carbon atoms between two sulfur atoms. The court held that although the prior art compounds were not true homologs or isomers of the claimed compounds, the similarity between the chemical structures and properties is sufficiently close that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the claimed compounds in searching for new pesticides.).

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique T. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

mtc